The burden of illness in Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy – how much evidence is available?

ISPOR Tokyo 2018 Poster PSY36

Hatswell AJ[1,2], Godfrey, J[3], Chandler, F[4,5]

[1]Delta Hat Limited, Nottingham; [2]University College London, London; [3]JG Zebra Consulting Ltd, London; [4]Duchenne UK, London; [5]GSK, London

Introduction

'Burden of illness' (BoI) or 'Burden of Disease' (BoD) studies attempt to capture the impact of a disease on a patient, their family, and the healthcare system (cost of illness studies being a subset of BoI studies) (Greenberg, Ibrahim, & Boncz, 2014).

The areas covered by a BoI study will vary by disease, but it is important to capture all areas which are notably impaired. In diseases of advanced age such as Alzheimer's, these impacts are likely to mainly relate to the impact on the patient and on carers. In a working age population – for example those suffering from knee injury (typically aged around 35) the most relevant data would be on quality of life and impact on work/productivity. It is therefore important to consider which areas data is needed on, given the disease profile (both who is affected, and how they are affected). From there the relevant evidence can be assessed. In this instance as the disease primarily affects children, the effects on the children directly, but also on their parents and carers should be the focus.

The aim of this project is to understand the available BoI data in Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy (DMD) with a view to informing what further data will be needed to inform health economic modelling and health technology appraisal (HTA) for newly launched products. This involves identifying the areas where data is likely to be needed, and then performing a review of existing BoI studies, as well as searches for data available in each specific area. We do not present a systematic or definitive critique of the evidence, but instead a subjective view of both the quantity and quality of published data.
In each section of the report, what appear to be the key studies are also highlighted in tabular form. Other relevant studies are discussed in text. The table includes the information on the publication, and also whether stage specific information is reported in a format suitable for modelling is reported, or whether reanalysis (if possible) would be required. Recommendations are also reported on what data would be required from any data collection exercise

It should be stressed that the views given are of the adequacy of the data for use in economic modelling, and not a comment on the intrinsic value of the research that has been conducted.

Methods

In order to determine the areas for inclusion, multiple steps were taken. Firstly commonly researched areas from published burden of illness studies were included, then areas from past experience with HTA were included. Finally consideration was given to the specific issues surrounding Duchenne, a disease of children and young adults. This was then shared the patient group Duchenne UK and companies developing medicines in the field (as relevant topic experts). The final areas considered in the review (and justifications for each) are given below.

- Incidence & prevalence of the condition – all HTA processes have some element of budget impact consideration. Thus whilst not used in models per se, good evidence on the number of patients likely to be affected is important to the process
- Inpatient healthcare costs and disease management healthcare resource use – the cost of treating acute symptoms of the disease is likely to include hospitalisation costs, and ultimately palliative care costs. Wider healthcare costs are likely to include appointments with different specialists within the healthcare system, as well as appointments with General Practitioners (GPs) and other healthcare professionals (such as physiotherapists) that constitute the mainly outpatient management of the condition. Whilst the proportion that is publicly funded will vary between healthcare systems, the resource use should be captured regardless
- Other medical costs – beyond the direct healthcare costs, patients with DMD are likely to have substantial other healthcare needs. Most notably these will include medical devices such as wheelchairs, respiratory devices, and technology for enabling independent living, some of which will be publicly funded, and some of which may be provided by the private or third sector
- Other governmental costs – The trajectory of DMD is such that patients will likely interact with a number of other governmental departments where further costs will be incurred, most notably the education (either in specialist schooling, or enabling patients to access mainstream schooling), and care sectors
- Cost of living – the cost of living with a long term disability is likely to be higher, with adaptations or limitations to housing, and modes of transport needing to be accounted for in modelling
- Impact on quality of life & the quality of life of family/carers – this has not been reviewed as it is the topic of a separate workstream
- Productivity losses –patient productivity losses are often captured in BoI studies, but here it is important to capture the impact on parents or carers - likely to be heavily involved in care given patient age
- Impact on families – the wider impact of families DMD should also be investigated as there are likely to be other costs or factors when one (or more) children are diagnosed with a serious progressive illness

The materials used for this review include
- Prior HTA submissions to NICE and the SMC i.e. Ataluren HST submission
- Prior HTA scope (HST) for lists of outcomes of interest
- Identification of existing burden of illness studies in PubMed
- Brief searches in MedLine (via PubMed) for each area of interest to find relevant material if this was not included

When searching for additional materials, the relevant terms for each search were combined

Results

The evidence on each area was searched, and key studies extracted, with quality assessed. The results of this are presented below including assessment of whether there was a sufficient quality of evidence to estimate effects, and whether the quality of the evidence was sufficient to have confidence in the results presented.

	Hits	Adequate quality?	Adequate quantity?
Burden of illness studies	61	-	-
Incidence & prevalence	-	Yes	Yes
Healthcare resource use	308	No	Yes
Other medical costs	496	No	No
Broader governmental costs	23	No	No
Cost of living impact	128	No	No
Productivity losses	437	No	No
Impact on families	62	No	No
Quality of life of family and carers	-	-	-

Whilst many studies were identified, in general the quality was poor for use in economic modelling. Even where good studies were available, these did not report results in a useful format for modelling or estimating the impact of treatments. The main issues were twofold

- Either results were given as costs for a given year without disaggregated results being presented to allow data to be adjusted for inflation, or different costs in other countries, or
- Results were not given by disease stage – preventing an understanding of how resource use changed as the disease progressed

Results presented below show the key studies available in each of the areas, and whether data is available for each of these areas

Incidence & Prevalence

The evidence on incidence and prevalence of the disease appears well established and of high certainty.

Author	Year	Country/countries included	Stage specific data available?	Data on units and cost reported?
Landfelt et al	2014	Germany, Italy, UK, US	N/A	N/A

Inpatient healthcare costs and disease management healthcare resource use

The evidence in this area is lacking in quality.
Further evidence collection (which preferably would include reanalysis of existing studies) should focus on capturing healthcare resource use (not just total cost) by disease stage – in general this is not reported. Whilst the totality of data with assumptions could be used for modelling, at present no single study presents appropriate data on the resource use by disease stage.

Author	Year	Country/countries included	Stage specific data available?	Data on units and cost reported?
Larkindale et al	2014	US	No	No
Landfelt et al	2014	Germany, Italy, UK, US	Partial	No
Schreiber-Katz et al	2014	Germany	Yes	No
BURQOL-RD	2016	Bulgaria, France, Germany, Hungary, Italy, Spain, Sweden, UK	No	No
Teoh et al.	2016	Australia	No	Yes
TREAT-MND DMD	2017	'Global'	No	No

Other medical costs

The evidence in this area is lacking in quantity and quality.
Further evidence collection (which preferably would include reanalysis of existing studies) should focus on capturing healthcare resource use (not just total cost) by disease stage, which is seldom reported – no singe study would alone provide robust model inputs at present.

Author	Year	Country/countries included	Stage specific data available?	Data on units and cost reported?
Larkindale et al	2014	US	No	No
Landfelt et al	2014	Germany, Italy, UK, US	No	No
Schreiber-Katz et al	2014	Germany	Yes	No
BURQOL-RD	2016	Bulgaria, France, Germany, Hungary, Italy, Spain, Sweden, UK	No	No
Teoh et al.	2016	Australia	No	Yes
TREAT-MND DMD	2017	'Global'	No	No
Rodger et al.	2015	UK, Germany, Denmark, Bulgaria, Hungary, Poland, Czech Republic	No	No

Other governmental costs

The evidence in this area is lacking in quantity and quality.
Further evidence collection (which preferably would include reanalysis of existing studies) should focus on understanding where Duchenne patients require governmental support, and how this varies by disease stage.

Author	Year	Country/countries included	Stage specific data available?	Data on units and cost reported?
Schreiber-Katz et al	2014	Germany	Yes	No
BURQOL-RD	2016	Bulgaria, France, Germany, Hungary, Italy, Spain, Sweden, UK	No	No
TREAT-MND DMD	2017	'Global'	No	No
Read et al.	2010	UK	No	Yes
Rodger et al	2015	UK, Germany, Denmark, Bulgaria, Hungary, Poland, Czech Republic	No	Yes

Cost of living

The evidence in this area is lacking in quantity and quality.
Further evidence collection (which preferably would include reanalysis of existing studies) should focus on understanding where Duchenne patients incur higher cost of living in both static costs (moving house or adapting houses), as well as ongoing costs (for example transport), as well as how this varies by disease stage.

Author	Year	Country/countries included	Stage specific data available?	Data on units and cost reported?
Larkindale et al	2014	US	No	No
Schreiber-Katz et al	2014	Germany	Yes	No

Productivity losses

The evidence in this area is lacking in quantity and quality.
Further evidence collection would ideally include reanalysis of existing studies and give the number of hours of care required, as well as hours worked by carers (compared to matched controls).

Author	Year	Country/countries included	Stage specific data available?	Data on units and cost reported?
Larkindale et al	2014	US	Partial	No
Schreiber-Katz et al	2014	Germany	Yes	Yes
BURQOL-RD	2016	Bulgaria, France, Germany, Hungary, Italy, Spain, Sweden, UK	No	Yes

Impact on families

The evidence in this area is lacking in quantity and quality.
Further evidence collection would ideally include reanalysis of existing studies, as well as quantitative assessments of the impact on families as a whole – from the impact of sibling activities and wellbeing, through to adaptations needed to lifestyle, split by disease stage.
What does exist is a lot of qualitative data that may be useful for context in submission dossiers, even if not in modelling directly.

Author	Year	Country/countries included	Stage specific data available?	Data on units and cost reported?
Read et al.	2010	UK	No	Yes
Landfelt et al	2014	Germany, Italy, UK, US	No	No
Nozoe et al.	2016	Brazil	No	Yes

Discussion

Whilst there is a large volume of data on the burden of illness with Duchenne, the quality and reporting is poorly suited to use in economic models. Even where suitable studies are available, these are unlikely to be able to be used in other time periods or jurisdictions without reanalysis.

Whilst there are several studies looking at direct medical costs, particularly inpatient costs these do not reflect the full burden of DMD, particularly non health care costs and costs associated with the impact on families and education.

Duchenne UK is seeking access to the data in studies discussed above and will commission additional work to address the gaps identified in this project. There are plans to develop patient and family surveys that will

Work will also be carried out to scrutinise the available healthcare resource use data an establish if this a true reflection of all healthcare resource use associated with DMD. Work will focus on clinical and patient and family perspectives on how closely patient experience matches the resource use that has been captured in these studies.

Where possible this further work will be published and presented in accordance with ISPOR best practice guidelines.

Beyond the immediate impact of data collection in Duchenne, those reporting burden of illness studies should consider ISPOR best practice guidelines in reporting resource use and costs separately, to allow data to be more easily used in a different context. Further developments in the field may allow access to more granular data for re-analysis (with care taken to suitably anonymise).

Angelis, A., Tordrup, D. & Kanavos, P. (2015). Socio-Economic Burden of Rare Diseases: A Systematic Review of Cost of Illness Evidence. Health Policy, 119(7), 964–979.
Bach, J. R., Tran, J. & Durante, S. (2015). Cost and Physician Effort Analysis of Invasive vs. Noninvasive Respiratory Management of Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy: American Journal of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, 94(6), 474–482.
Baiardini, I., Minetti, C., Bonifacino, S., Porcu, A., Klersy, C., Petralia, P., Balestracci, S., Tarchino, F., Parodi, S., Canonica, G. W. & Braido, F. (2011). Quality of Life in Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy: The Subjective Impact on Children and Parents. Journal of Child Neurology, 26(6), 707–713.
Bell, C. F., Kurosky, S. K. & Candrilli, S. D. (2015). Muscular Dystrophy-Related Hospitalizations among Male Pediatric Patients in the United States. Hospital Practice, 43(3), 180–185.
Bendixen, R. M., Senesac, C., Lott, D. J. & Vandenborne, K. (2012). Participation and Quality of Life in Children with Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy Using the International Classification of Functioning, Disability, and Health. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 10, 43.
Bladen, C. L. et al. (2013). The TREAT-NMD Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy Registries: Conception, Design, and Utilization by Industry and Academia. Human Mutation, 34(11), 1449–1457.
BURQOL-RD Research Network, Cavazza, M., Kodra, Y., Armeni, P., De Santis, M., López-Bastida, J., Linertová, R., Oliva-Moreno, J., Serrano-Aguilar, P., Posada-de-la-Paz, M., Taruscio, D., Schieppati, A., Iskrov, G., Péntek, M., von der Schulenburg, J. M. G., Kanavos, P., Chevreul, K., Persson, U. & Fattore, G. (2016). Social/Economic Costs and Health-Related Quality of Life in Patients with Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy in Europe. The European Journal of Health Economics, 17(S1), 19–29.
Chen, J.-Y. & Clark, M.-J. (2007). Family Function in Families of Children with Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy. Family & Community Health, 30(4), 296–304.
Dreyer, P. S., Steffensen, B. F. & Pedersen, B. D. (2010). Life with Home Mechanical Ventilation for Young Men with Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 66(4), 753–762.
Gagliardi, B. A. (1991). The Impact of Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy on Families. Orthopedic Nursing, 10(5), 41–49.
Greenberg, D., Ibrahim, M. I. B. M. & Boncz, I. (2014). What Are the Challenges in Conducting Cost-of-Illness Studies? Value in Health Regional Issues, 4, 115–116.
Henschke, C. (2012). Provision and Financing of Assistive Technology Devices in Germany: A Bureaucratic Odyssey? The Case of Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis and Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy. Health Policy, 105(2), 176–184.
Hilton, T., Orr, R. D., Parkin, R. M. & Ashwal, S. (1993). End of Life Care in Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy. Pediatric neurology, 9(3), 165–177.
Kennerson, A. & Bobo, J. K. (2010). The Effect of Caregiving on Women in Families with Duchenne/Becker Muscular Dystrophy. Health & Social Care in the Community, 18(5), 520–528.
Koeks, Z. et al. Clinical Outcomes in Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy: A Study of 5345 Patients from the TREAT-NMD DMD Global Database. Journal of Neuromuscular Diseases, 4(4), 293–306.
Kohler, M., Clarenbach, C. F., Bahler, C., Brack, T., Russi, E. W. & Bloch, K. E. (2009). Disability and Survival in Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery, and Psychiatry, 80(3), 320–325.
Kohler, M., Clarenbach, C. F., Böni, L., Brack, T., Russi, E. W. & Bloch, K. E. (2005). Quality of Life, Physical Disability, and Respiratory Impairment in Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy. American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, 172(8), 1032–1036.
Landfeldt, E., Lindgren, P., Bell, C. F., Guglieri, M., Straub, V., Lochmüller, H. & Bushby, K. (2016). Quantifying the Burden of Caregiving in Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy. Journal of Neurology, 263, 906–915.
Landfeldt, E., Lindgren, P., Bell, C. F., Schmitt, C., Guglieri, M., Straub, V., Lochmüller, H. & Bushby, K. (2014). The Burden of Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy. Neurology, 83(6), 529–536.
Larkindale, J., Yang, W., Hogan, P. F., Simon, C. J., Zhang, Y., Jain, A., Habeeb-Louks, E. M., Kennedy, A. & Cwik, V. A. (2014). Cost of Illness for Neuromuscular Diseases in the United States. Muscle & Nerve, 49(3), 431–438.
Magliano, L. et al. (2015). Burden, Professional Support, and Social Network in Families of Children and Young Adults with Muscular Dystrophies. Muscle & Nerve, 52(1), 13–21.
MAGLIANO, L., D'ANGELO, M. G., VITA, G., PANE, M., D'AMICO, A., BALOTTIN, U., ANGELINI, C., BATTINI, R. & POLITANO, L. (2014). Psychological and Practical Difficulties among Parents and Healthy Siblings of Children with Duchenne vs. Becker Muscular Dystrophy: An Italian Comparative Study. Acta Myologica, 33(3), 136–143.
Nereo, N. E., Fee, R. J. & Hinton, V. J. (2003). Parental Stress in Mothers of Boys with Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy. Journal of pediatric psychology, 28(7), 473–484.
Nozoe, K. T., Hachul, H., Hirotsu, C., Polesel, D. N., Moreira, G. A., Tufik, S. & Andersen, M. L. (2014). The Relationship between Sexual Function and Quality of Sleep in Caregiving Mothers of Sons with Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy. Sexual Medicine, 2(3), 133–140.
Nozoe, K. T., Polesel, D. N., Moreira, G. A., Pires, G. N., Akamine, R. T., Tufik, S. & Andersen, M. L. (2016). Sleep Quality of Mother-Caregivers of Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy Patients. Sleep and Breathing, 20(1), 129–134.
Pandya, S. K., Campbell, K. A., Andrews, J. G., Meaney, F. J. & Ciafaloni, E. (2016). Health Services Received by Individuals with Duchenne/Becker Muscular Dystrophy. Muscle & Nerve, 53(2), 191–197.
Pangalila, R. F., van den Bos, G. A. M., Stam, H. J., van Exel, N. J. A., Brouwer, W. B. F. & Roebroeck, M. E. (2012). Subjective Caregiver Burden of Parents of Adults with Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy. Disability and Rehabilitation, 34(12), 988–996.
Pellegrini, N., Guillon, B., Prigent, H., Pellegrini, M., Orlikovski, D., Raphael, J.-C. & Lofaso, F. (2004). Optimization of Power Wheelchair Control for Patients with Severe Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy. Neuromuscular disorders: NMD, 14(5), 297–300.
Read, J., Kinali, M., Muntoni, F. & Garralda, M. E. (2010). Psychosocial Adjustment in Siblings of Young People with Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy. European Journal of Paediatric Neurology, 14(4), 340–348.
Rodger, S., Woods, K. L., Bladen, C. L., Stringer, A., Vry, J., Gramsch, K., Kirschner, J., Thompson, R., Bushby, K. & Lochmüller, H. (2015). Adult Care for Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy in the UK. Journal of Neurology, 262(3), 629–641.
Ryder, S., Leadley, R. M., Armstrong, N., Westwood, M., de Kock, S., Butt, T., Jain, M. & Kleijnen, J. (2017). The Burden, Epidemiology, Costs and Treatment for Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy: An Evidence Review. Orphanet Journal of Rare Diseases, 12. Retrieved February 14, 2018, from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5405509/
Schreiber-Katz, O., Klug, C., Thiele, S., Schorling, E., Zowe, J., Reilich, P., Nagels, K. H. & Walter, M. C. (2014). Comparative Cost of Illness Analysis and Assessment of Health Care Burden of Duchenne and Becker Muscular Dystrophies in Germany. Orphanet Journal of Rare Diseases, 9. Retrieved February 14, 2018, from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4302713/
Teoh, L. J., Geelhoed, E. A., Bayley, K., Leonard, H. & Laing, N. G. (2016). Health Care Utilization and Costs for Children and Adults with Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy. Muscle & nerve, 53(6), 877–884.
Thayer, S., Bell, C. & McDonald, C. M. (2017). The Direct Cost of Managing a Rare Disease: Assessing Medical and Pharmacy Costs Associated with Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy in the United States. Journal of managed care & specialty pharmacy, 23(6), 633–641.
Thomas, P. T., Rajaram, P. & Nalini, A. (2014). Psychosocial Challenges in Family Caregiving with Children Suffering from Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy. Health & Social Work, 39(3), 144–152.
Uzark, K., King, E., Cripe, L., Spicer, R., Sage, J., Kinnett, K., Wong, B., Pratt, J. & Varni, J. W. (2012). Health-Related Quality of Life in Children and Adolescents With Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy. Pediatrics, 130(6), e1559–e1566.
Yamaguchi, M., Sonoda, E. & Suzuki, M. (2017). The Experience of Parents of Adult Sons with Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy Regarding Their Prolonged Roles as Primary Caregivers: A Serial Qualitative Study. Disability and Rehabilitation, 1–7.